

Q1 Thinking about the information provided before the meeting, please rate your level of agreement with the following statement:Overall, the information provided in the invitation letter and on the website about the meeting including registration, visa and hotel options was timely and informative.



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Agree	95.65%	22
Neutral	4.35%	1
Disagree	0.00%	0
Don't know - I didn't read the information	0.00%	0
TOTAL		23

#	IF YOU DISAGREE - WHAT WOULD YOU PREFER IN FUTURE?	DATE
1	The Information given was good and informative, however a liitel bit late.	1/9/2018 5:12 AM


## Q2 Thinking about the communications you received, please rate your level of agreement with the following statement: Communications to registered delegates was informative.



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Agree	91.30%	21
Neutral	8.70%	2
Disagree	0.00%	0
Don't know - I didn't read the communications	0.00%	0
TOTAL		23

#	IF YOU DISAGREE - WHAT WOULD BE MORE USEFUL IN FUTURE?	DATE
	There are no responses.	


Q3 Working Group Reports – Working Group Chairs were requested to submit their Working Group Reports so they could be posted to the website for pre-reading. Thinking about the Working Group Reports provided before the meeting, please rate your level of agreement with the following statement: I found it useful to be able to read the Working Group Reports prior to attending the meeting and this allowed me to better understand the Working Group Presentations.



ANSWER CI	HOICES	RESPONSES	
Agree		86.96%	20
Neutral		8.70%	2
Disagree		0.00%	0
Don't know -	I didn't read the Working Group Reports	4.35%	1
TOTAL			23
#	IF YOU DISAGREE - WHAT WOULD BE MORE USEFUL IN FUTURE?	DATE	

There are no responses.


Q4 Economy Reports - Economies were requested to submit their Economy Reports so these could be posted to the website for premeeting reading. Thinking about the Economy Reports provided before the meeting, please rate your level of agreement with the following statement: I found it useful to be able to read the Economy Reports prior to attending the meeting and this allowed me to more fully participate in the Poster Sessions.



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Agree	86.96%	20
Neutral	8.70%	2
Disagree	0.00%	0
Don't know - I didn't read the Economy Reports	4.35%	1
TOTAL		23


#	IF YOU DISAGREE - WHAT WOULD BE MORE USEFUL IN FUTURE?	DATE
	There are no responses.	

Q5 APLMF Discussion/Decision Papers were posted to the meeting or members on pages of the website. Thinking about the APLMF Discussion/Decision Papers provided before the Meeting, please rate your level of agreement with the following statement: By pre-reading the papers I was more prepared to comment and engage with the discussion at the meeting.



ANSWER C	HOICES	RESPONSES	
Agree		95.65%	22
Neutral		4.35%	1
Disagree		0.00%	0
Don't know	I didn't read the APLMF Discussion/Decision Papers	0.00%	0
TOTAL			23
#	IF YOU DISAGREE - WHAT WOULD BE MORE USEFUL IN FUTURE?	DATE	
	There are no responses.		


# Q6 Thinking about the room set up, please rate your level of agreement with the following statement: I was able to see the screen, hear presentations clearly and was able to contribute to the conversations.



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Agree	82.61%	19
Neutral	13.04%	3
Disagree	4.35%	1
TOTAL		23

#	IF YOU DISAGREE - WHAT WOULD BE MORE USEFUL IN FUTURE?	DATE
1	I think the voice presented was not loud enough for the delegates. It maybe be because of the speakers or microphones.	1/3/2018 9:52 PM
2	I found the seating arrangements a little crowded (little elbow room)	11/21/2017 9:24 AM
3	Since the room set up is U shape, it would be better if the screen in the left and right sides were used instead of only the middle screen. This would be more comfortable for the delegates who sit in the left and right sides	11/20/2017 2:15 PM


Q7 Thinking about the MEDEA Training Impacts Session, please rate your level of agreement with the following statement: I found the instructions for this session were easy to understand and I was able to fully participate.



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Agree	73.91%	17
Neutral	21.74%	5
Disagree	4.35%	1
TOTAL		23


#	IF YOU DISAGREE - WHAT WOULD BE MORE USEFUL IN FUTURE?	DATE
1	The outcomes from thsi session were not s useful as had beene xpected. In future the instructions need to be far more specific and and more structured. e.g. list 2 areas where you want APLMF to support your economy in 2018-2020. Then get members to vote on the 2 most important items.	11/20/2017 10:10 PM

### Q8 Thinking about the Economy Poster Sessions, did you find the interactive nature useful?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	100.00%	23
No	0.00%	0
TOTAL		23


### Q9 Please rate the length of time at each Economy Poster Session.I thought the time at each poster (5 minutes) was...



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Just right	34.78%	8
Too short	65.22%	15
TOTAL		23


#	IF YOU THOUGHT THEY WERE TOO SHORT – HOW MUCH TIME WOULD BE BETTER E.G. 7 MINS – 10 MINS?	DATE
1	7 mins	1/14/2018 11:28 PM
2	The time about 7 mins - 8 mins may be better.	1/9/2018 4:53 PM
3	7 mins would be better	1/9/2018 5:14 AM
4	The time allowed was too short for the presenters. Most of the presentations were completed with less details and some could not be completed. Time should be added so that the audiences are more interested in the posters and ask more questions, and the presenters were able to end their presentations successfully. I think ten-minute is better because each poster represents each economy and there are a lot of things within each economy.	1/3/2018 9:52 PM
5	10 minutes.	12/28/2017 3:37 PM
6	10 minutes would be sufficient to allow even the presenting economy to seek ideas or advice from other economies who are in audience	12/21/2017 12:49 PM
7	10 minutes	11/21/2017 9:24 AM
8	Each person should have 10 minutes each 5 for presentation and 5 for questions.	11/20/2017 10:10 PM
9	10 mins	11/20/2017 7:56 PM
10	Yes. 7 minutes - 10 minutes will be better.	11/20/2017 6:54 PM
11	Less than 10 mins, 5 mins is too short	11/20/2017 5:58 PM
12	7 to 10 minutes would be great	11/20/2017 4:27 PM
13	10 minutes would be enough	11/20/2017 2:15 PM

#### Q10 Did you continue conversations with poster owners during the breaks?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	78.26%	18
No	21.74%	5
TOTAL		23


## Q11 Still thinking about the Economy Poster Sessions, please rate your level of agreement with the following statement: I would like to see the poster sessions again in 2018.



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Agree	95.65%	22
Neutral	4.35%	1
Disagree	0.00%	0
TOTAL		23

#	IF YOU DISAGREE - WHAT WOULD BE MORE USEFUL IN FUTURE?	DATE
	There are no responses.	


# Q12 Thinking about the Member Only Session, please rate your level of agreement with the following statements: The session covered all items of APLMF business for the year.



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Agree	60.87%	14
Neutral	34.78%	8
Disagree	4.35%	1
TOTAL		23

#	IF YOU DISAGREE - WHAT WOULD BE MORE USEFUL IN FUTURE?	DATE
1	We needed more time to discuss on a lot of issues.	11/27/2017 10:09 PM
2	I couldn't attend since it was for members only	11/20/2017 4:27 PM
3	a bit more of a deep dive into the budget appreciated	11/20/2017 12:37 PM

#### Q13 I was able to put forward my economies points of view on items of concern.



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Agree	73.91%	17
Neutral	26.09%	6
Disagree	0.00%	0
TOTAL		23

#	IF YOU DISAGREE - WHAT WOULD BE MORE USEFUL IN FUTURE?	DATE
	There are no responses.	

### Q14 If you have any other comments or observations about the meetings please summarise.

Answered: 12 Skipped: 11

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I agree with all mentioned statements and just recommend the length of time at each Economy Poster Session should be about 7 mins.	1/14/2018 11:28 PM
2	Seen from Switzerland, a country not belonging to Asia Pacific countries, this Meeting washighly informative and helpful for all participating countries.	1/9/2018 5:16 AM
3	I have noted that the president of the APLMF led the meeting well. It would be event better if there is a Master of Ceremony who is in charge of some additional activities of the meeting such as the opening ceremony, welcome dinner, farewell dinner, etc. More importantly, the speakers and microphones must be of good quality since they control the outcome of the meeting. If the delegates of the meeting cannot hear clearly, the information might be interpreted differently. Anyway, I would like to sincerely thank APLMF for organizing this important meeting and provide support to many training courses so far to the officials who are in charge of legal metrology work. Once again, thanks!	1/3/2018 10:11 PM
4	The meeting arrangements and the social events are excellent, but I would expect members from the developing economies to speak up and get more involved in the proceedings and in the future.	1/2/2018 7:41 PM
5	Economy Poster Sessions should be 10 minutes for both present and answer the questions.	12/28/2017 3:38 PM
6	I really appreciate your assistance. And, this is a successful meeting.	12/21/2017 8:01 PM
7	It was well organized. But we need more time to discuss many pending issues of APLMF (membership, fees, WG structure and decision making). The former meetings did not address these issues sufficiently.	11/27/2017 10:11 PM
8	I think the poster sessions were excellent but, as indicated earlier in the survey, more time should be allowed for each session, recognizing time restraints and the fact it is difficult time wise to be able to answer all of the questions I a very short period of time.	11/21/2017 9:27 AM
9	excellent preparation and hosting the meeting! Thank you to the organizers and Secretariat!	11/20/2017 7:58 PM
10	Every year, the meetings have been upgraded and getting easier to attend and communicate with other economies. Thanks to New Zeland.	11/20/2017 6:00 PM
11	Thinking about the MEDEA Project, I think it would be useful if we can conduct a joint activity between APMP and APLMF so we can see the link of both forum	11/20/2017 2:18 PM
12	Resolution options require more interaction before the meeting	11/20/2017 12:37 PM