

MEDEA: Metrology – Enabling Developing Economies in Asia

Final Report of Training Course on Mass Standards

Dates: 30th August (Tue) to 1st September (Thu), 2016

Organizers:

1. Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt (PTB), Germany
2. Asia-Pacific Legal Metrology Forum (APLMF)

Venue and Accommodation:

1. **Borobudur Hotel**, Jl. Lapangan Banteng Selatan No.1, Ps. Baru, Sawah Besar, Jakarta, Indonesia

Host:

1. Directorate of Metrology (DoM), Ministry of Trade, Indonesia
2. National Metrology Institute of Japan (NMIJ), AIST, Japan

Trainers:

1. Mr. Julian Crane (APLMF secretary/trainer, MBIE, New Zealand)
2. Mr. Masaaki Ueki (primary trainer, NMIJ, Japan)
3. Dr. Tsuyoshi Matsumoto (coordinator/trainer, NMIJ)
4. Mr. Tsutomu Horikoshi (assistant trainer, NMIJ)
5. Mr. Nobuhiko Azami (assistant trainer, NMIJ)

1 Objective of the Training

1.1 Introduction

Mass is one of the SI base units and mass measurement plays an important role in metrology including legal metrology which is closely related to science, technology, transactions and human life. Weights are commonly used in mass measurement and this category of artifact is necessary to maintain a traceability system for mass.

APLMF has been conducting training courses on non-automatic weighing instruments (NAWI) for over 20 years in order to support legal metrology officers from developing economies to implement harmonized verification procedures. In addition, APMP has recently conducted a Workshop on Calibration of Laboratory Scales in September, 2015 in Beijing, PR China.

In these training courses, APLMF and APMP members requested additional support in the form of a new training program to explain how to maintain and provide mass standards. As a result, this training course was planned as a joint program participated both by APLMF and APMP with a support of the MEDEA project coordinated by PTB in Germany.

MEDEA: Metrology – Enabling Developing Economies in Asia

1.2 Objectives

This training course was designed for officers, experts and scientists who calibrate and/or verify standard weights in accordance with OIML Recommendation R 111: 2004 “Weights of classes E₁, E₂, F₁, F₂, M₁, M₁₋₂, M₂, M₂₋₃ and M₃”. Its contents were composed of both lectures and a practical activity. The lectures included outline of mass standards, treatment of measurement uncertainty, technical requirements based on R 111 and practical procedure for calibrating/verifying standard weights. The practical activity was provided to demonstrate how to calibrate smaller weights based on a reference weight using a sub-multiple method. The participants then had an opportunity to practice using this procedure.

This course provided participants with the knowledge and skills to:

- understand the role of mass standards for scientific and trade measurements within an economy,
- identify the important requirements for standard weights based on OIML R 111,
- understand proper operating/environmental conditions for calibrating/verifying standard weights,
- identify possible sources of measurement uncertainties, and
- calibrate or verify standard weights in accordance with the procedures specified in OIML R 111.

2 Target Group

This course targeted participants who deal with weights in the middle and lower classes (such as F₂ and M₁₋₃ specified in R 111). However, this course was also useful for participants who wish to establish a primary laboratory dealing with weights in higher classes (E₂ or F₁). All participants were expected to have practical experience in calibrating, comparing and verifying such weights.

3 Description of the Training Course

In this chapter, outline of the training course is described. See **Annex 1** for the final program and **Annex 2** for the final participants list.

3.1 Opening ceremony

On Tuesday 30th, the training course started off with an opening ceremony at a meeting room in the Borobudur Hotel. On behalf of the host economy, Mr. Hari Prawoko (Director of Metrology/DoM) delivered an opening address. Mr. Julian Crane and Dr. Tsuyoshi Matsumoto followed and delivered addresses on behalf of APLMF and the trainers of NMIJ, respectively.

3.2 Economy report

On Tuesday after the opening ceremony, one representative from each of the participating thirteen economies provided an economy report with presentation slides on the current situation in traceability and metrological control systems on mass standards. Names of the representatives, who provided the report, are given below. See **Annex 3** for a summary of economy reports.

MEDEA: Metrology – Enabling Developing Economies in Asia

- (1) Mr. Mohammad Mamunur Rahman (Bangladesh)
- (2) Mrs. Leki Choden (Bhutan)
- (3) Mr. Channsokha Tep (Cambodia)
- (4) Ms. Putri Kania Hasana (Indonesia)
- (5) Mr. Viktor Milokumov (Kazakhstan)
- (6) Ms. Suliana Ghazalli (Malaysia)
- (7) Ms. Delgermaa Lkhagvadorj (Mongolia)
- (8) Dr. Mar Lar Win (Myanmar)
- (9) Mr. Allan Baba Barilae (Papua New Guinea)
- (10) Mr. Kiveen Suycano (Philippines)
- (11) Mrs. Kalani Sandya Mallawaarachchi (Sri Lanka)
- (12) Ms. Chayanisa Na Lampoon (Thailand)
- (13) Mr. Tien Dan Nguyen (Viet Nam)

3.3 Lectures

In the afternoon on Tuesday 30th, Mr. Julian Crane provided an economy report “Metrological Control of Masses in New Zealand”. Dr. Matsumoto followed with the lectures on “Traceability in scientific and legal metrology” and “Introduction to mass standards including an economy report (of Japan)”.

On Wednesday 31st, Mr. Horikoshi and Mr. Azami jointly provided lectures on “Outline of standard weights – Technical requirements based on R 111”. Mr. Ueki followed with two lectures on “Evaluation of the uncertainty of mass calibrations” and “Introduction to the practical training”.

3.4 Practical activity

In the morning on Thursday 1st, a practical activity was provided in another room next to the meeting room in the Borobudur Hotel. This activity was conducted by separating the 21 participants into three groups of seven members, which were numbered as A, B and C. The leader as well as the members of each group had been selected by the trainers in advance on Wednesday. Ms. Putri Kania Hasana (ID*), Mr. Viktor Milokumov (KZ*) and Ms. Suliana Ghazalli (MY*) served as the leaders of Group A, B and C, respectively. All trainers instructed the activity by the three groups. Eight observers from the host economy carefully watched this group activity. (* Country code in ISO 3166: see **Annex 2**.)

To enable such a practical activity within the limited framework in the hotel, the host (DoM) provided three sets of equipment in advance. Each set comprised a precise mass comparator (maximum capacity of 52 g and minimum readability of 1 µg) and two weight sets (F2 class with nominal values of 1, 2, 5 and 10 g). Air conditioner and three tables provided by the hotel were utilized to maintain an environmental condition which was acceptable in a training course. To prepare for the practical activity, the trainers conducted a preliminary measurement on Wednesday after the training course.

A sub-multiple calibration method, which had been developed and proposed by NMIJ based on R 111, was employed in the practical activity. This method is frequently used by the calibration laboratory at the highest level (usually, at an NMI) to calibrate smaller weights

MEDEA: Metrology – Enabling Developing Economies in Asia

based on the primary standard. In this method, a precise comparison of ‘conventional masses’ (see OIML D 28) between two combined weights, which have the same total nominal value, is conducted using the mass comparator. This comparison is repeated ten times in the NMIJ method for different combinations of weight. In each step, a common comparison method called ‘ABBA’ was used. The entire procedure of 10 comparisons was repeated two times in the present training course. It meant that each group conducted a total of 20 comparisons in two cycles. The practical activity had been finished in much shorter time than the duration expected by the trainers.

3.5 Presentation on the calibration results

In the afternoon on Thursday after the practical activity, the three group leaders reported the calibration results with additional comments including difficulties and experiences faced/obtained during the practice. Mr. Ueki and Dr. Matsumoto chaired this session. A numerical analysis on the measurement results obtained by the three groups showed a fairly good consistency. The trainers concluded therefore that the practice was finished successfully and a further repetition was not necessary.

All groups reported that the calibration was conducted by changing the operator. It meant that each participant had one or two opportunities of practice. In the comments, some members pointed out the limitation to the room size in the hotel and lack of number of equipment compared to the total number of participants.

3.6 Summary discussion

A summary discussion on the entire training course including future directions was conducted with the chair, Dr. Matsumoto. The following is a summary of the discussion.

- (1) Firstly, Dr. Matsumoto inquired the background of each participant in mass measurement by simply selecting one from the three categories; (1) scientific metrology (2) legal metrology and (3) both. The fraction of replies was almost 1/3 for each of the three categories.
- (2) In addition to the sub-multiple calibration, many economies requested a practice with a one-to-one comparison between two weights with the same nominal value. This method is used widely in the middle or lower layer of traceability including verifications/inspections in legal metrology.
- (3) There was a need for a training course on verification of NAWI by the participants from the authorities in legal metrology. Dr. Matsumoto replied that APLMF had conducted many courses for NAWI and another course would be held in Malaysia in November, 2016.
- (4) There was a need for a practical method to analyze/evaluate the real material used for weights (MY). The participants told that there had been a problem and doubt in the quality of weights. Some manufacturers may not use the correct material given in the specifications.
- (5) A question from KZ pointed out insufficient data for evaluating uncertainty using Type-A method when it is applied to the weight of 1 g in the NMIJ method. This question was based on a fact that this weight (1 g) had been compared only once. Mr. Ueki

MEDEA: Metrology – Enabling Developing Economies in Asia

replied that the lack of information was compensated by the entire process of recursive calculations on the results obtained in 10 comparisons.

- (6) Practical method to evaluate uncertainty in conventional mass of a weight (KZ & PH). Mr. Ueki provided explanations adequately.
- (7) A need for a training item to measure magnetic properties of weight (KZ).
- (8) Evaluation of all uncertainty factors such as density of air & weight, temperature, humidity, atmospheric pressure, volume of weight and magnetism (KZ and others).
- (9) Recommended format of measurement error and uncertainty to be expressed on a calibration certificate.
- (10) An inquiry about a globally-accepted sub-multiple calibration method (KZ). Mr. Ueki explained that the method used in this training course was merely an example in Japan. He encouraged each economy develop its own method. Dr. Matsumoto inquired the participants an existence of an original calibration method in each economy, and a half of them replied 'Yes'.
- (11) Regarding the practical activity for calibration, most of the participants admitted their importance for understanding the contents of the lecture. Many of them also replied that present time length of practice was sufficient.
- (12) There was a strong need for continuing training activities in mass standards (by most of the participants).

3.7 Action plans

A summary discussion was conducted on 'action plans' to be sought and achieved by each participants after going back to his/her economy. Mr. Crane and Dr. Matsumoto chaired this session and they requested each economy to present the plan orally and briefly. Dr. Matsumoto requested the participants to propose a realistic plan which would be achieved in three years. A summary of the action plans is provided in **Annex 4**.

3.8 Closing ceremony

At the end of the training course, a closing ceremony was conducted in the meeting room. Dr. Matsumoto, Mr. Crane and Mr. Ueki handed certificates of attendance to all of the 29 participants including the 8 observers. All of the five trainers signed on the certificates in advance. The ceremony was concluded by the remarks provided by Mr. Prawoko, Mr. Crane and Dr. Matsumoto that contained deep gratitude to the MEDEA project.

3.9 Materials / documents

For the benefit of the participants, the APLMF secretariat provided an online storage called as 'Google Drive'. All participants accessed the storage using private PCs and Wi-Fi (Wireless LAN) provided by the hotel. All training materials (final program, lecture slides/documents, economy reports, worksheets with measurement results and photos) were then shared with the participants, observers, host staffs and the secretariats of PTB and APLMF.

MEDEA: Metrology – Enabling Developing Economies in Asia

3.10 Support by the host economy

Under the support by PTB, DoM and the hotel provided (1) lunch, coffee/tea break and dinner from 30th August to 1st September, and (2) transportations by taxi from/to the Jakarta International Airport for the foreign participants and trainers. DoM also provided necessary equipment and consumable materials for the practical activity on 1st September. Mass comparators and weights were temporarily transferred from the main campus of DoM in Bandung.

4 Highlights / Lessons Learned

4.1 Were the objectives of the training course met?

Yes. All items in the objectives were explained. Some of them were also demonstrated and / or practiced on.

4.2 Was the right target group attracted by the training?

Yes. All of them were the right staffs to be invited to the present training course. This course was a unique joint meeting attended by the experts both from the two different fields, i.e. scientific metrology (APMP) and legal metrology (APLMF).

Although the two fields took up almost at the same portion in the participants, contents of the present course, particularly the practical activity, had to prioritize mass standards at a higher level in scientific metrology due to an employment of sub-multiple method. As a result, the actual contents were shifted more scientific-oriented and thus deviated from the original target in the invitation brochure, which aimed weights in the ‘middle and lower classes’.

In addition, there was a difficulty in conversation in English with some participants though it did not become a serious impediment to the training course.

4.3 What was the feedback of the participants (results in scores)?

A link to the feedback form (questionnaire) using an online system ‘Survey Monkey’ was sent from the secretariat of PTB to all participants in advance by email. All participated economies replied to the form by the end of the training course. However, some economies had to submit a joint reply of two participants because the system did not accept the second entry from an economy using the same PC.

All participants provided favorable and appreciative comments. They seemed to understand the main objectives of the present course. Many of them requested to repeat such a training course. A summary of all numerical scores is shown below:

✓ **Organization and logistics:**

Preparation of advance information: Perfect 61 % / Good 39 %

Logistics: Perfect 61 % / Good 33 % / Average 6 %

Time schedule & overall duration: Perfect 39 % / Good 39 % / Average 22 %

Quality of information material: Perfect 28 % / Good 72 %

MEDEA: Metrology – Enabling Developing Economies in Asia

✓ **Program and contents:**

Quality of information material: Perfect 28 % / Good 72 %

Relevance of topics for your work: Perfect 44 % / Good 56 %

Quality of satisfaction of your expectations: Perfect 28 % / Good 61 % / Average 11 %

✓ **Group work:**

Topic was relevant: Perfect 44 % / Good 56 %

Group discussions were helpful to gain a better understanding of the topic:
Perfect 44 % / Good 50 % / Average 6 %

✓ **Rating of the training on an overall basis:**

Perfect 33 % / Good 61 % / Average 6 %

Following is a summary of comments provided in the feedback forms.

✓ **Organization and logistics:**

- (1) More days (5 days) for training are requested. Too many topics were provided in the 3 days. We can concentrate on one topic more deeply.
- (2) The contents should cover a wider range including both scientific and legal metrology.
- (3) The legal metrology and scientific metrology could be separated to provide a more effective training course.
- (4) A follow up course is needed for the sub-multiple calibration method.
- (5) Environmental condition for the practical calibration activity was not good due to the too many number of participants in a tight space.
- (6) Information about the preparation of documents was not consistent. PTB required participants bring printed documents, but they were already printed by the host.
- (7) Google Drive was useful to share information.
- (8) We cannot afford to use such a good comparator with 6 digits. We use a scale only with two digits in my economy.
- (9) An optional tour to an NMI should be provided.
- (10) There was a difficulty in English in some participants.
- (11) Compliments to PTB as well as requests to its logistics such as, selection of air ticket, nomination procedure, etc.

✓ **Program and contents:**

MEDEA: Metrology – Enabling Developing Economies in Asia

- (12) It was a valuable experience to practice on the sub-multiple calibration method. We have never used such a method.
- (13) Lower levels of mass standards should be also covered.
- (14) One-to-one method with the same nominal value (not only the sub-multiple method) should also be covered.
- (15) We need a lecture on new definition of kilogram.
- (16) We need lectures with demonstration for measuring density, magnetism and surface roughness of weights.
- (17) Q&A by the trainers on the final day may not be necessary and we need more practical sessions. There were other comments though that “the Q&A session was useful”.
- (18) Practical procedure to evaluate measurement uncertainty was useful. However, we need more samples and practical exercises.
- (19) The contents contained a lot of valuable information including those of OIML R 111.
- ✓ **Group work (practical activity of calibration):**
 - (20) Practical activity was a valuable experience. It was the most effective part.
 - (21) We should have more practical part.
 - (22) The size of each group (7 members) was too large.
 - (23) The data sheet with calculation tables (Excel) provided by NMIJ was useful.
- ✓ **Rating of the training on an overall basis (general comments):**
 - (24) It was good to know the calibration procedure employed in NMIJ. We will review our procedure and introduce it.
 - (25) The trainers need to be improved in communication skills. There was another comment “our trainers were so friendly that impressed me”.
 - (26) MEDEA should continue trainings for dissemination of mass standard / solid density, calibration of standard weights and determination of CMC.
 - (27) We need a workshop on practical evaluation of measurement uncertainty.
 - (28) Most of the information in this training has already been implemented in our laboratory.
 - (29) We need to improve the environmental condition in our laboratory (by many).
 - (30) We need a text book for mass standards. We hope to translate it to our language.
 - (31) We need more international inter-comparisons for mass standards.

MEDEA: Metrology – Enabling Developing Economies in Asia

- (32) It was a valuable experience to exchange of information among the participants and the trainers from different economies including the economy reports (by many).
- (33) We will share information in my institute and plan domestic trainings (by many).
- (34) We will review our procedures and/or technical regulations (by many).
- (35) Pay attention to the choice of the trainers and materials. Invite more expertise trainers.

4.4 What was the feedback of the trainers?

Following are the important comments provided by the trainers. They reported that this training course was successful in the scientific aspect while they commented that a training program in legal metrology in the future should be restructured or separated.

- (1) The trainers believed that the sub-multiple calibration method was understood well, and this training course almost achieved its objectives in scientific metrology.
- (2) Practical activities for both sub-multiple and one-to-one calibrations and analysis of measurement uncertainty should be strengthened in the future.
- (3) The trainers suggests that if we continue a training course on mass standards in the scientific field, it should target the NMIs in APMP while an invitation may also be sent to the authorities in legal metrology.
- (4) The trainers realized that the participants from legal metrology had more concerns in verification of mass standards in the lower level (M_{1-3} classes) with one-to-one comparison and another training program for verifying NAWIs.
- (5) To respond the needs from the legal metrology, some part of the present course might be merged into the present training program on NAWI under APLMF. A lecture on R111 and a short practice on one-to-one comparisons of mass standards (M class) could be added to the NAWI program.

4.5 What were the highlights of the course?

This training course was the first trial dedicated to ‘mass standards’ only among the various training courses or workshops that have been organized by APLMF and APMP. The organizers therefore designed the contents to be composed of (1) basic understanding of OIML R 111 and (2) practical calibration procedure using the sub-multiple method, on which NMIJ had sufficient experience. These components primarily targeted applications in legal metrology and scientific metrology, respectively.

As a result, there were many competent participants. The participants from the NMIs in scientific metrology particularly gave valuable questions, comments and suggestions as it was summarized in 4.4. It seemed that some of them were able to serve as a trainer in the near future.

Regarding logistics, the organizers wish to emphasize the dedicated efforts by the host organization, DoM. The local staffs provided meeting rooms/accommodations at the highest

MEDEA: Metrology – Enabling Developing Economies in Asia

quality located conveniently in the heart of Jakarta. The staffs also provided facility with high quality and kind care for all participants / trainers.

Regarding the sharing of electronic information, this has been improved significantly with an employment of Google Drive that was introduced by the APLMF secretariat. Another employment of an online feedback system ‘Survey Monkey’, which was provided by PTB, greatly facilitated prompt submission of the comments from the participants. The Wi-Fi network of the venue underpinned the use of the two innovative IT systems. In the former training courses, more traditional methods such as electronic mail, USB memory sticks and CD-ROMs were used. Regarding the IT instruments, most of the participants brought their own PCs.

4.6 Lessons Learned: What recommendations would you give to the MEDEA Coordination Committee and trainers of other courses?

Regardless the above effort to design a joint program both for scientific metrology and legal metrology, the organizers/trainers had a regret in which the main target of the present course had to be more scientific-oriented aiming at the upper level of traceability. In the summary session, it seems that a large part of the questions and comments were given by the participants from the scientific metrology. The participants from legal metrology even seemed to hesitate to express their own needs.

It is needless to say that an important objective of MEDEA is cooperation or synergy between the two fields. Although this target is correct ideally, the trainers however realized a large difference in concerns and daily activities between the two fields in metrology. We recommend the organizers of the future joint programs keeping in mind that it is inherently difficult to plan and implement a joint technical training program of benefit for the two different fields. If there is a chance to repeat such a training course, two sessions for practical activity could be provided, i.e., one being dedicated for the upper level of traceability and another one being designed for more practical applications in the real field.

Regarding the sharing of documents, we can consider a possibility of a future training course/seminars without (or minimum amount of) papers although the host in DoM kindly provided hard copies of all training materials.

5 Next Steps / Follow-up

5.1 What are the agreed next steps after the training?

As it was mentioned in 4.3, many participants requested to continue such training program in in mass measurement. It should be noted however that the participants from legal metrology requested more practical contents, e.g., direct comparison method of the weights in lower classes of M_{1-3} and another course dedicated for weighing instruments (NAWI). On the other hand, another group from scientific metrology preferred the present scheme of training in mass standards with more technical/theoretical contents including evaluation of measurement uncertainty.

MEDEA: Metrology – Enabling Developing Economies in Asia

5.2 What are the suggested follow-up activities?

It is generally recommended to continue a follow-up training program in mass standards. It should be noted however, there is still a large difference in concern between scientific metrology and legal metrology. A solution might be an organization of two separate courses in APMP and APLMF while a joint invitation could be sent to both organizations.

MEDEA: Metrology – Enabling Developing Economies in Asia

Annex 1: Final Program

Training Course on Mass Standards 30 August – 1 September, 2016 in Jakarta, Indonesia

Tuesday, 30 August Venue: Borobudur Hotel Jakarta		
Time	Details	Presenter
08:30 – 09:00	Registration	Host
09:00 – 09:30	Opening ceremony with welcome address from the host economy, APLMF Secretariat and the trainers Group photo taking	APLMF, trainers and Host
09:30 – 10:00	Coffee Break	
10:00 – 10:20	Introduction and overview of the course	Trainers
10:20 – 12:00	Economy reports	All participants
12:00 – 13:40	Lunch	
13:40 – 14:20	Metrological Control of Masses In New Zealand	Mr. Crane
14:20 – 15:00	Traceability in scientific & legal metrology, introduction to mass standards and economy report	Dr. Matsumoto
15:00 – 15:30	Coffee break	
15:30 – 16:50	(Continued the lecture)	Dr. Matsumoto
19:00 – 21:00	Welcome dinner at Bogor Restaurant in the hotel	Host (DoM)
Wednesday, 31 August Venue: Borobudur Hotel Jakarta		
Time	Details	Presenter
09:00 – 10:40	Outline of standard weights – Technical requirements based on R 111	Mr. Horikoshi and Mr. Azami
10:40 – 11:10	Coffee Break	
11:10 – 12:20	(Continued the lecture)	
12:20 – 13:50	Lunch	
13:50 – 15:10	Evaluation of the uncertainty of mass calibrations	Mr. Ueki
15:10 – 15:40	Coffee Break	
15:40 – 16:30	(Continued the lecture)	Mr. Ueki
16:30 – 17:00	Introduction to the practical training	All trainers
18:00 – 19:00	Dinner at Bogor Restaurant	Host (DoM)
Thursday, 1 September Venue: Borobudur Hotel Jakarta		
Time	Details	Presenter
09:00 – 10:50	Practical training for calibration in three groups	All trainers
10:50 – 11:20	Coffee Break	
11:20 – 12:00	Questions and answers with discussion	All trainers
12:00 – 13:40	Lunch	
13:40 – 14:40	Presentation on the calibration results in groups	All participants
14:40 – 15:20	Summary discussion with action plans	All participants
15:20 – 15:50	Coffee Break	
15:50 – 16:30	Closing ceremony	All participants
18:00 – 19:00	Dinner at Bogor Restaurant	Host (DoM)

MEDEA: Metrology – Enabling Developing Economies in Asia

Annex 2: List of participants (trainees)

No.	Title	First name (Given)	Last name (Family)	Position / Responsibility	Institute	Economy
1	Mr.	Mohammad Mamunur	Rahman	Inspection, calibration and verification of mass standards at laboratory and in the field.	BSTI (Bangladesh Std. & Testing Inst.)	Bangladesh (BD)
2	Mrs.	Leki	Choden	Calibration/verification for weights and measures and metrological services for public awareness. Drafting of quality manuals, technical procedures and instructions for the laboratory.	BSB (Bhutan Standards Bureau)	Bhutan (BT)
3	Mr.	Rathanak	Seng	Verification of mass standards and other kinds of measuring instrument.	NMC (national Metrology Center)	Cambodia (KH)
4	Mr.	Channsokha	Tep	Calibration and verification for mass, volume and pressure.		
5	Mrs.	Machida Nurul	Kholishoh	Officer for Inspection and calibration	DoM (Directorate of Metrology), Min. of Trade	Indonesia (ID)
6	Ms.	Putri Kania	Hasana	Calibration of mass standards		
7	Mr.	Viktor	Milokumov	Maintenance of the state mass standards and verification of mass standards.	KazInMetr (Kazakhstan Inst. of Metrology)	Kazakhstan (KZ)
8	Ms.	Suliana	Ghazalli	Maintenance of mass std., develop. of new std., calibration & verification of mass std. & weighing inst., type approval of legal instruments, drafting of regulations, training, int. comparisons, and proficiency tests.	NMIM (National Institute of Metrology Malaysia), SIRIM	Malaysia (MY)
9	Ms.	Suhaidah	Amizam	Maintenance of std., develop. of new std., calibration & verification services, type approval in legal metrology, drafting of regulations, collaborative activities, and supervision of junior staff.		
10	Mr.	Batkhuu	Chanarav	Verification officer at the mass standards laboratory	MASM (Mongolian Agency Std. & Metrology)	Mongolia (MN)
11	Ms.	Delgermaa	Lkhagvadorj	Calibration of weights and balances		

MEDEA: Metrology – Enabling Developing Economies in Asia

12	Dr.	Mar Lar	Win	Head of mass laboratory	DRI (Dept. of Research & Innovation), Min. of Education	Myanmar (MM)
13	Ms.	Daw Thinzar	Tun	Technician of mass laboratory		
14	Mr.	Allan Baba	Barilae	Calibrations / verifications for mass & related quantities including maintenance the environment. Due to the low manpower (only 5), I often take on other roles.	NISIT (National Institute of Std. & Industrial Technology)	Papua New Guinea (PG)
15	Mr.	Kiveen	Suycano	Deputy head of the mass standards section for calibration, review/approval of reports and management of proficiency tests.	NML-ITDI (National Metrology Lab. – Ind. Tech. Dev. Inst.)	Philippines (PH)
16	Ms.	Arachchige Dona Sharmila Priyadarshani	Kumarapeli	Calibration and verification	MUSSD (Measurement Units, Standards & Services Dept.)	Sri Lanka (LK)
17	Mrs.	Kalani Sandya	Mallawaarachchi	Technical manager		
18	Ms.	Chayanisa	Na Lampon	Calibration of standard weights and maintenance of the accurate standards in the center.	Northern Weights & Meas. Center (Chiang Mai), Dept. of Internal Trade	Thailand (TH)
19	Mrs.	Krongkarn	Mangdindam	Calibration of standard weights and maintenance of the accurate standards of the North Eastern Weights and Measures Branch Offices.	Central Bureau of Weights & Meas. (Nonthaburi), Dept. of Internal Trade	
20	Mrs.	Thanh Phuong	Do Ngoc	Work with southern Viet Nam metrological staff of STAMEQ	STAMEQ (Directorate for Std., Metrology & Quality)	Viet Nam (VN)
21	Mr.	Tien Dan	Nguyen	Verification and drafting of metrological technical requirements		
22-29	Eight observers from Indonesia					

MEDEA: Metrology – Enabling Developing Economies in Asia

Annex 3: Summary of economy reports provided by the APLMF secretariat

Economy	Legislation	Metrological Control System	Organisations in System	OIML R111 Compliant	Class of weights used	Issues or Problems
Bangladesh	Standards of Weights and Measures Ordinance 1982	NMI-BSTI: Member of ISO & BIPM. Signatory of CIPM MRA. Member of OIML. Full member of APMP.	BSTI NMI Legal Metrology / National metrology	Yes	E1 and lower	None reported
Bhutan	Bhutan Standards Act 2010	Seeking Accreditation , based on legislation	NML	Yes	F1 and lower	Experienced staff Funding
Cambodia	Metrology law of Cambodia 2009	NMC Department of legal Metrology	Ministry of Industry and Handicraft NMC 4 x Departments of Metrology	Yes	E1 and lower	Adjustment of electronic instruments
Indonesia	Legal Metrology Act (1981) and related regulations	National mass std. is maintained by DoM. Other primary stds. are maintained by Depute of Calibration, Instrumentation and Metrology - the Indonesian Institute of Sciences.	Ministry of Trade, Directorate of Metrology, Local Governments	Laboratory procedure compliant with OIML R111	All classes (E1, E2, F1, F2 and M1-M3) are used Class F and M are used for legal metrology	None identified
Japan	Measurement Act (1992) and related regulations	There are (1) a voluntary traceability system, JCSS (Japan Calib. Serv. Sys.) and (2) a provision system of verification standards in legal metrology.	METI (Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry), NMIJ (Nat. Met. Inst of Japan), local governments and JCSS labs.	Our technical standards in JIS are almost compliant with R 111.	All classes are used. The classes F & M are used in legal metrology.	Traceability with JCSS and another system for verification standards.
Kazakhstan	Ensuring the unity of measurements Act 2000	CTRM Kazakhstan Institute of Metrology Laboratories Accredited	Kazakhstan Institute of Metrology	yes	E1 and lower	None identified
Malaysia	National Measurement System Act 2007 Weights and measures Act 1972	Primary standard held by NMIM Laboratory Accreditation ISO	National Metrology Institute of Malaysia	Yes	E1 and lower	None identified
Mongolia		Accreditation for laboratory from KOLAS	MASM	Yes	E0 and lower	Personnel Funding

MEDEA: Metrology – Enabling Developing Economies in Asia

Myanmar	Draft law from PTB Waiting for Parliamentary signoff	Seeking Accreditation for laboratory 2016	Ministry of Education Department of Research and Innovation National Standards and Quality Department (Metrology Division)	Partly compliant	E2 and lower	Not identified
New Zealand	Weights and Measures Act 1987 and associated regulations	NZ primary standards maintained by the Measurement Standards Laboratory (MSL) Legal Metrology Standards maintained by Trading Standards	Measurement Standards Laboratory (MSL) for scientific metrology Trading Standards for legal metrology	Mostly compliant with R111	E1, E2, F1, F2 and M1 to M3 Class F and Class M used for legal metrology	None Identified
Papua New Guinea	NISIT Act 1993	Traceable through Australian primary standards Seeking Accreditation for laboratory	NISIT (National Institute of Std. & Industrial Technology)	Partly compliant	E2 (through Australia)	Funding New legislation required
Philippines	National Metrology Board	ISO 17025 Accredited laboratory (DAKKS)	Nat. Met. Lab. of the Philippines National Metrology Board (NMB)	Mostly compliant to R111	E2 and lower	No technical guidelines NMB not convened
Sri Lanka	Measurement Units Standards and Services Act No. 35 of 1995	Primary std. and legal metrology maintained by the Nat. Meas. Lab. of the Measurement Units Standards and Services Department	Ministry of Industry and Commerce	Laboratory procedure compliant with OIML R111	E1, E2, F1, F2 and M1 to M3 Class F and Class M used for legal metrology.	
Thailand	Weights and Measures Act B.E. 2574 (1999)	Primary std. is maintained by National Institute of Metrology (Thailand) (NIMT). Legal metrology is maintained by Central Bureau of Weights and Measures (CBWM).	NIMT for scientific metrology Trading Standards for legal metrology (CBWM).	Laboratory procedure compliance with OIML R111	E1, E2, F1, F2 and M1 to M3 Class F and Class M used for legal metrology.	None identified
Vietnam	Metrology Law 2012 Government issued circulars	STAMEQ VMI Quatest Accredited Laboratories	MOST STAMEQ	Mostly compliant	E1 and lower	None identified

MEDEA: Metrology – Enabling Developing Economies in Asia

Annex 4: Summary of action plans provided by the APLMF secretariat

Summary of Action Plans				
Training Course on Mass Standards				
Jakarta, Indonesia – 30 Aug – 01 Sep 2016				
Title	Surname	First Name	Economy	Action Plans
Mr	Rahman	Mohammad Mamunur	Bangladesh	Improve environmental controls, Domestic training for colleagues , Develop procedures with information from training course
Mrs	Choden	Leki	Bhutan	Share information received on course and train fellow staff , Amend procedures to include air buoyancy correction
Mr	Seng	Tatanak	Cambodia	Share knowledge gained on course with colleagues Seek funding to improve systems and equipment
Mr	Tep	Channsokha	Cambodia	
Mrs	Kholishoh	Machida Nurul	Indonesia	On return instigate discussion around dissemination method with colleagues , conduct cross check on domestic method against method shown on training course , conduct in house training in DoM
Ms	Hasana	Putri Kania	Indonesia	
Mr	Milokumov	Viktor	Kazakhstan	Streamline and simplify procedures from information gathered from course , Present training to domestic laboratories
Ms	Ghazalli	Suliana	Malaysia	Disseminate knowledge between all laboratory staff , conduct cross check between dissemination method presented and domestic method being used , deliver training to MOT Inspectors
Ms	Amizam	Suhaidah	Malaysia	
Mr	Chanarav	Batkhuu	Mongolia	Give a presentation on course on return. Prepare a training course for verification officers
Ms	Lkhagvadorj	Delgermaa	Mongolia	
Dr	Win	Mar Lar	Myanmar	By using NIMJ method, share information and training course with colleagues and to train other mass laboratories in Myanmar.
Ms	Tun	Daw Thinzar	Myanmar	
Mr	Barilae	Allan Baba	Papua New Guinea	Report back to and pass on information from course to colleagues , Use course material to train new recruits , work to build the hierarchy of calibration services
Mr	Suycano	Kiveen	Philippines	Presentation to colleagues , Information from course will be used to help draft practical guides , launched on World metrology day , Information from course will be used in technical guidelines
Ms	Kumarapeli	Arachchige Dona Sharmilia Privadarshani	Sri Lanka	Implement dissemination scheme , share information with colleagues , develop calibration scheme
Mrs	Mallawaarachchi	Kalani Sandya	Sri Lanka	
Ms	Na Lampoon	Chayaisa	Thailand	Present to colleagues , train staff with knowledge gained from course , develop calibration scheme
Mrs	Mangdindam	Krongkarn	Thailand	
Mrs	Do Ngoc	Thanh Phong	Vietnam	Information from course will assist with technical research, Share knowledge gained on course with colleagues, We can advise STAMEQ leaders to develop metrology policy, comments on technical document such as: implement harmonized verification procedures on Mass standard methods and means of verification, or Mass standard Calibration procedure
Mr	Nguyen	Tien Dan	Vietnam	

MEDEA: Metrology – Enabling Developing Economies in Asia

Annex 5: Photographs



Group photo at the lobby of Borobudur Hotel (30 August)



Lectures (30-31 August) and practical activity (1 September)